

# **GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT**

**PREPARED BY:** 

THE RILEY GROUP, INC. 17522 BOTHELL WAY NORTHEAST BOTHELL, WASHINGTON 98011

**PREPARED FOR:** 

CITY OF SEATTLE, OFFICE OF HOUSING 700 5TH AVENUE SUITE 5700 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104

RGI PROJECT NO. 2021-552-12

KENYON STREET VACANT LOT - SITE 5 4203 SOUTH KENYON STREET AND 7908 MLK JR. WAY SOUTH SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

MAY 18, 2022

*Corporate Office* 17522 Bothell Way Northeast

Bothell, Washington 98011 Phone 425.415.0551 Fax 425.415.0311

www.riley-group.com



May 18, 2022

Erica Malone City of Seattle, Office of Housing 700 5th Avenue Suite 5700 Seattle, Washington 98104

Subject: Geotechnical Engineering Report Kenyon Street Vacant Lot - Site 5 4203 South Kenyon Street and 7908 MLK Jr. Way South Seattle, Washington RGI Project No. 2021-552-12

Dear Erica Malone:

As requested, The Riley Group, Inc. (RGI) has performed a Geotechnical Engineering Report (GER) for the Kenyon Street Vacant Lot - Site 5 located at 4203 South Kenyon Street and 7908 MLK Jr. Way South, Seattle, Washington. Our services were completed in accordance with our proposal dated March 1, 2022 and authorized by Laurie Olson with City of Seattle, Office of Housing on March 2, 2022. The information in this GER is based on our understanding of the proposed construction, and the soil and groundwater conditions encountered in the borings completed by RGI at the site on April 6, 2022.


RGI recommends that you submit the project plans and specifications to RGI for a general review so that we may confirm that the recommendations in this GER are interpreted and implemented properly in the construction documents. RGI also recommends that a representative of our firm be present on site during portions of the project construction to confirm that the soil and groundwater conditions are consistent with those that form the basis for the engineering recommendations in this GER.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact us.

Respectfully submitted,

THE RILEY GROUP, INC.

**Project Geologist** 



HARIE MILL AND STITULA MARIE MILL AND STITULA MARIE

Kristina M. Weller, PE Principal Geotechnical Engineer

Corporate Office 17522 Bothell Way Northeast Bothell, Washington 98011 Phone 425.415.0551 **\*** Fax 425.415.0311

www.riley-group.com

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0		INTRODUCTION1
2.0		PROJECT DESCRIPTION
3.0	3.1	FIELD EXPLORATION AND LABORATORY TESTING
4.0	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	SITE CONDITIONS2SURFACE2GEOLOGY2SOILS2GROUNDWATER2SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS3GEOLOGIC HAZARD AREAS4
5.0		DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS
	-	GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS
		<ul><li>5.2.2 Stripping and Subgrade Preparation</li></ul>
	5.4	5.2.3 Excavations
		Drainage
		5.6.1       Surface       9         5.6.2       Subsurface       9         5.6.3       Infiltration       9
6.0		ADDITIONAL SERVICES
7.0		LIMITATIONS

### LIST OF FIGURES AND APPENDICES

Figure 1	Site Vicinity Map
Figure 2	
Figure 3	Retaining Wall Drainage Detail
Figure 4	Typical Footing Drain Detail
Appendix A	



## **Executive Summary**

This Executive Summary should be used in conjunction with the entire Geotechnical Engineering Report (GER) for design and/or construction purposes. It should be recognized that specific details were not included or fully developed in this section, and the GER must be read in its entirety for a comprehensive understanding of the items contained herein. Section 7.0 should be read for an understanding of limitations.

RGI's geotechnical scope of work included the advancement of seven probe borings to approximate depths of 24 feet below existing site grades. The probe borings were completed as part of our environmental Phase II work on the site indicating contamination above MTCA cleanup levels. The report is provided under separate cover.

Based on the information obtained from our subsurface exploration, the site is suitable for development of the proposed project. The following geotechnical considerations were identified:

**Soil Conditions:** The soils encountered during field exploration include firm sandy silt to medium dense silty sand over medium dense to dense sand.

**Groundwater:** Light groundwater seepage was encountered in TP-5 at 15 feet during our subsurface exploration.

**Foundations:** Foundations for the proposed building may be supported on conventional spread footings bearing on medium dense to dense native soil or structural fill.

**Slab-on-grade:** Slab-on-grade floors and slabs for the proposed building can be supported on medium dense to dense native soil or structural fill.



## 1.0 Introduction

This Geotechnical Engineering Report (GER) presents the results of the geotechnical engineering services provided for the Kenyon Street Vacant Lot - Site 5 in Seattle, Washington. The purpose of this evaluation is to assess subsurface conditions and provide geotechnical recommendations for the construction of a residential development. Our scope of services included field explorations, laboratory testing, engineering analyses, and preparation of this GER.

The recommendations in the following sections of this GER are based upon our current understanding of the proposed site development as outlined below. If actual features vary or changes are made, RGI should review them in order to modify our recommendations as required. In addition, RGI requests to review the site grading plan, final design drawings and specifications when available to verify that our project understanding is correct and that our recommendations have been properly interpreted and incorporated into the project design and construction.

## 2.0 **Project description**

The project site is located at 4203 South Kenyon Street and 7908 MLK Jr. Way South in Seattle, Washington. The approximate location of the site is shown on Figure 1.

The site is currently unoccupied land. RGI understands that new housing will be constructed on the site. At the time of preparing this GER, a development plan was not available. We expect the proposed building will be a lightly loaded, at grade wood structure.

## 3.0 Field Exploration and Laboratory Testing

## **3.1** FIELD EXPLORATION

On April 6, 2022, RGI observed the drilling of six borings. The approximate exploration locations are shown on Figure 2.

Field logs of each exploration were prepared by the geologist that continuously observed the drilling. These logs included visual classifications of the materials encountered during drilling as well as our interpretation of the subsurface conditions between samples. The borings logs included in Appendix A represent an interpretation of the field logs and include modifications based on laboratory observation and analysis of the samples.

## **3.2** LABORATORY TESTING

During the field exploration, a representative portion of each recovered sample was sealed in containers and transported to our laboratory for further visual and laboratory examination. Selected samples retrieved from the borings were tested for moisture content and grain size analysis to aid in soil classification and provide input for the



1



recommendations provided in this GER. The results and descriptions of the laboratory tests are enclosed in Appendix A.

## 4.0 Site Conditions

## 4.1 SURFACE

The subject site consists of two rectangular-shaped parcels of land (Parcel IDs 4006000322 and 4006000319) totaling approximately 0.27 acres in size. The site is bound to the north by South Kenyon Street, to the east and south by residential properties, and to the west by Martin Luther King Jr Way South.

The existing site is vacant land covered by trees and other vegetation. The site is relatively flat with a slope on the western portion, with an overall elevation difference of approximately 5 feet.

## 4.2 GEOLOGY

Review of the *Geologic Map of Seattle – a progress report,* by Kathy G. Troost, etc. (2005) indicates that the soil in the project vicinity is mapped as recessional outwash deposits (Qvr), which is loose to dense stratified sand and gravel, moderately sorted to well sorted, and less common silty sand and silt. Deposited in outwash channels that carried south-draining glacial meltwater and may contain deposits accumulated in or adjacent to recessional lakes. These descriptions are generally similar to the findings in our field explorations.

## 4.3 SOILS

The soils encountered during field exploration include firm sandy silt to medium dense silty sand over medium dense to dense sand.

More detailed descriptions of the subsurface conditions encountered are presented in the borings included in Appendix A. Sieve analysis was performed on two selected soil samples. Grain size distribution curves are included in Appendix A.

## 4.4 **G**ROUNDWATER

Light groundwater seepage was encountered in TP-5 at 15 feet during our subsurface exploration.

It should be recognized that fluctuations of the groundwater table will occur due to seasonal variations in the amount of rainfall, runoff, and other factors not evident at the time the explorations were performed. In addition, perched water can develop within seams and layers contained in fill soils or higher permeability soils overlying less permeable soils following periods of heavy or prolonged precipitation. Therefore, groundwater levels



during construction or at other times in the future may be higher or lower than the levels indicated on the logs. Groundwater level fluctuations should be considered when developing the design and construction plans for the project.

#### 4.5 SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS

Based on the International Building Code (IBC), RGI recommends the follow seismic parameters for design.

Parameter	2018 Value
Site Soil Class <sup>1</sup>	D <sup>2</sup>
Site Latitude	47.5312714
Site Longitude	-122.2805307
Short Period Spectral Response Acceleration, $S_s$ (g)	1.506
1-Second Period Spectral Response Acceleration, $S_1$ (g)	0.519
Adjusted Short Period Spectral Response Acceleration, $S_{MS}$ (g)	1.506
Adjusted 1-Sec Period Spectral Response Acceleration, $S_{M1}$ (g)	0.925 <sup>3</sup>
Numeric seismic design value at 0.2 second; S <sub>DS</sub> (g)	1.004
Numeric seismic design value at 1.0 second; S <sub>D1</sub> (g)	0.616 <sup>3</sup>

Tab	le	1	IBC

1. Note: In general accordance with Chapter 20 of ASCE 7-16. The Site Class is based on the average characteristics of the upper 100 feet of the subsurface profile.

2. Note: ASCE 7-16 require a site soil profile determination extending to a depth of 100 feet for seismic site classification. The current scope of our services does not include the required 100 foot soil profile determination. Borings extended to a maximum depth of 24 feet, and this seismic site class definition considers that similar soil continues below the maximum depth of the subsurface exploration. Additional exploration to deeper depths would be required to confirm the conditions below the current depth of exploration.

3. Note: In accordance with ASCE 11.4.8, a ground motion hazard analysis is not required for the following cases:

- Structures on Site Class E sites with Ss greater than or equal to 1.0, provided the site coefficient Fa is taken as equal to that of Site Class C.
- Structures on Site Class D sites with S<sub>1</sub> greater than or equal to 0.2, provided that the value of the seismic response coefficient Cs is determined by Eq. 12.8-2 for values of T  $\leq$  1.5Ts and taken as equal to 1.5 times the value computed in accordance with either Eq. 12.8-3 for T<sub>L</sub>  $\geq$  T > 1.5T<sub>s</sub> or Eq. 12.8-4 for T > TL.
- Structures on Site Class E sites with  $S_1$  greater than or equal to 0.2, provided that T is less than or equal to  $T_s$  and the equivalent static force procedure is used for design.

The above exceptions do not apply to seismically isolated structures, structures with damping systems or structures designed using the response history procedures of Chapter 16.

Liquefaction is a phenomenon where there is a reduction or complete loss of soil strength due to an increase in water pressure induced by vibrations from a seismic event. Liquefaction mainly affects geologically recent deposits of fine-grained sands that are below the groundwater table. Soils of this nature derive their strength from intergranular friction. The generated water pressure or pore pressure essentially separates the soil grains and eliminates this intergranular friction, thus reducing or eliminating the soil's strength.



RGI reviewed the results of the field and laboratory testing and assessed the potential for liquefaction of the site's soil during an earthquake. Since the site is underlain by firm silty soils, RGI considers that the possibility of liquefaction during an earthquake is minimal.

## 4.6 GEOLOGIC HAZARD AREAS

Regulated geologically hazardous areas include erosion, landslide, earthquake, or other geological hazards. Based on the definition in the Seattle Municipal Code, the site does not contain geologically hazardous areas.

## 5.0 Discussion and Recommendations

### 5.1 GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Based on our study, the site is suitable for the proposed construction from a geotechnical standpoint. Foundations for the proposed building can be supported on conventional spread footings bearing on competent native soil or structural fill. Slab-on-grade floors can be similarly supported.

Detailed recommendations regarding the above issues and other geotechnical design considerations are provided in the following sections. These recommendations should be incorporated into the final design drawings and construction specifications.

### 5.2 EARTHWORK

The earthwork is expected to include excavating and backfilling the building foundations and preparing slab subgrades.

### 5.2.1 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Potential sources or causes of erosion and sedimentation depend on construction methods, slope length and gradient, amount of soil exposed and/or disturbed, soil type, construction sequencing and weather. The impacts on erosion-prone areas can be reduced by implementing an erosion and sedimentation control plan. The plan should be designed in accordance with applicable city and/or county standards.

RGI recommends the following erosion control Best Management Practices (BMPs):

- Scheduling site preparation and grading for the drier summer and early fall months and undertaking activities that expose soil during periods of little or no rainfall
- Retaining existing vegetation whenever feasible
- > Establishing a quarry spall construction entrance
- Installing siltation control fencing or anchored straw or coir wattles on the downhill side of work areas
- Covering soil stockpiles with anchored plastic sheeting



- Revegetating or mulching exposed soils with a minimum 3-inch thickness of straw if surfaces will be left undisturbed for more than one day during wet weather or one week in dry weather
- Directing runoff away from exposed soils and slopes
- > Decreasing runoff velocities with check dams, straw bales or coir wattles
- Confining sediment to the project site
- Inspecting and maintaining erosion and sediment control measures frequently (The contractor should be aware that inspection and maintenance of erosion control BMPs is critical toward their satisfactory performance. Repair and/or replacement of dysfunctional erosion control elements should be anticipated.)

Permanent erosion protection should be provided by reestablishing vegetation using hydroseeding and/or landscape planting. Until the permanent erosion protection is established, site monitoring should be performed by qualified personnel to evaluate the effectiveness of the erosion control measures. Provisions for modifications to the erosion control system based on monitoring observations should be included in the erosion and sedimentation control plan.

### 5.2.2 STRIPPING AND SUBGRADE PREPARATION

Stripping efforts should include removal of pavements, vegetation, organic materials, and deleterious debris from areas slated for building, pavement, and utility construction. The borings encountered 6 inches of topsoil and rootmass. Deeper areas of stripping may be required in heavily vegetated areas of the site.

Subgrade soils that become disturbed due to elevated moisture conditions should be overexcavated to reveal firm, non-yielding, non-organic soils and backfilled with compacted structural fill. In order to maximize utilization of site soils as structural fill, RGI recommends that the earthwork portion of this project be completed during extended periods of warm and dry weather if possible. If earthwork is completed during the wet season (typically November through May) it will be necessary to take extra precautionary measures to protect subgrade soils. Wet season earthwork will require additional mitigative measures beyond that which would be expected during the drier summer and fall months.

#### 5.2.3 EXCAVATIONS

All temporary cut slopes associated with the site and utility excavations should be adequately inclined to prevent sloughing and collapse. The site soils consist of firm sandy silt to medium dense silty sand over medium dense to dense sand.

Accordingly, for excavations more than 4 feet but less than 20 feet in depth, the temporary side slopes should be laid back with a minimum slope inclination of 1.5H:1V (Horizontal:Vertical). If there is insufficient room to complete the excavations in this



5



manner, or excavations greater than 20 feet in depth are planned, using temporary shoring to support the excavations should be considered. For open cuts at the site, RGI recommends:

- No traffic, construction equipment, stockpiles or building supplies are allowed at the top of cut slopes within a distance of at least five feet from the top of the cut
- Exposed soil along the slope is protected from surface erosion using waterproof tarps and/or plastic sheeting
- Construction activities are scheduled so that the length of time the temporary cut is left open is minimized
- Surface water is diverted away from the excavation
- The general condition of slopes should be observed periodically by a geotechnical engineer to confirm adequate stability and erosion control measures

In all cases, however, appropriate inclinations will depend on the actual soil and groundwater conditions encountered during earthwork. Ultimately, the site contractor must be responsible for maintaining safe excavation slopes that comply with applicable OSHA or WISHA guidelines.

### 5.2.4 STRUCTURAL FILL

RGI recommends fill below the foundation and floor slab, behind retaining walls, and below pavement and hardscape surfaces be placed in accordance with the following recommendations for structural fill. The structural fill should be placed after completion of site preparation procedures as described above.

The suitability of excavated site soils and import soils for compacted structural fill use will depend on the gradation and moisture content of the soil when it is placed. As the amount of fines (that portion passing the U.S. No. 200 sieve) increases, soil becomes increasingly sensitive to small changes in moisture content and adequate compaction becomes more difficult or impossible to achieve. Soils containing more than about 5 percent fines cannot be consistently compacted to a dense, non-yielding condition when the moisture content is more than 2 percent above or below optimum. Optimum moisture content is that moisture that results in the greatest compacted dry density with a specified compactive effort.

Non-organic site soils are only considered suitable for structural fill provided that their moisture content is within about two percent of the optimum moisture level as determined by American Society of Testing and Materials D1557-09 Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Modified Effort (ASTM D1557). Excavated site soils may not be suitable for re-use as structural fill depending on the moisture content and weather conditions at the time of construction.. Even during dry weather, moisture conditioning (such as, windrowing and drying) of site soils to be reused as structural fill may be required.





The site soils are moisture sensitive and may require moisture conditioning prior to use as structural fill. If on-site soils are or become unusable, it may become necessary to import clean, granular soils to complete site work.

Prior to use, an RGI representative should observe and test all materials imported to the site for use as structural fill. Structural fill materials should be placed in uniform loose layers not exceeding 12 inches and compacted to 95 percent of the maximum dry density. The soil's maximum density and optimum moisture should be determined by ASTM D1557. Placement and compaction of structural fill should be observed by RGI.

#### 5.2.5 WET WEATHER CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

RGI recommends that preparation for site grading and construction include procedures intended to drain ponded water, control surface water runoff, and to collect shallow subsurface seepage zones in excavations where encountered. It will not be possible to successfully compact the subgrade or utilize on-site soils as structural fill if accumulated water is not drained prior to grading or if drainage is not controlled during construction. Attempting to grade the site without adequate drainage control measures will reduce the amount of on-site soil effectively available for use, increase the amount of select import fill materials required, and ultimately increase the cost of the earthwork phases of the project. Free water should not be allowed to pond on the subgrade soils. RGI anticipates that the use of berms and shallow drainage ditches, with sumps and pumps in utility trenches, will be required for surface water control during wet weather and/or wet site conditions.

### 5.3 FOUNDATIONS

Following site preparation and grading, the proposed building foundation can be supported on conventional spread footings bearing on competent native soil or structural fill. Loose, organic, or other unsuitable soils may be encountered in the proposed building footprint. If unsuitable soils are encountered, they should be overexcavated and backfilled with structural fill. If loose soils are encountered, the soils should be moisture conditioned and compacted to a firm and unyielding condition.

Design Parameter	Value
Allowable Bearing Capacity	2,000 psf <sup>1</sup>
Friction Coefficient	0.30
Passive pressure (equivalent fluid pressure)	250 pcf <sup>2</sup>

#### **Table 2 Foundation Design**

1. psf = pounds per square foot

2. pcf = pounds per cubic foot



The allowable foundation bearing pressures apply to dead loads plus design live load conditions. For short-term loads, such as wind and seismic, a 1/3 increase in this allowable capacity may be used. At perimeter locations, RGI recommends not including the upper 12 inches of soil in the computation of passive pressures because they can be affected by weather or disturbed by future grading activity. The passive pressure value assumes the foundation will be constructed neat against competent soil or backfilled with structural fill as described in Section 5.2.4. The recommended base friction and passive resistance value includes a safety factor of about 1.5.

Perimeter foundations exposed to weather should be at a minimum depth of 18 inches below final exterior grades. Interior foundations can be constructed at any convenient depth below the floor slab. Finished grade is defined as the lowest adjacent grade within 5 feet of the foundation for perimeter (or exterior) footings and finished floor level for interior footings

With spread footing foundations designed in accordance with the recommendations in this section, maximum total and differential post-construction settlements of 1 inch and 1/2 inch, respectively, should be expected.

## 5.4 RETAINING WALLS

If retaining walls are needed in the building area, RGI recommends cast-in-place concrete walls be used. The magnitude of earth pressure development on retaining walls will partly depend on the quality of the wall backfill. RGI recommends placing and compacting wall backfill as structural fill. Wall drainage will be needed behind the wall face. A typical retaining wall drainage detail is shown in Figure 3.

With wall backfill placed and compacted as recommended, and drainage properly installed, RGI recommends using the values in the following table for design.

Design Parameter	Value
Allowable Bearing Capacity	2,000 psf
Active Earth Pressure (unrestrained walls)	35 pcf
At-rest Earth Pressure (restrained walls)	50 pcf

#### Table 3 Retaining Wall Design

For seismic design, an additional uniform load of 7 times the wall height (H) for unrestrained walls and 14H in psf for restrained walls should be applied to the wall surface. Friction at the base of foundations and passive earth pressure will provide resistance to these lateral loads. Values for these parameters are provided in Section 5.3.



## 5.5 SLAB-ON-GRADE CONSTRUCTION

Once site preparation has been completed as described in Section 5.2, suitable support for slab-on-grade construction should be provided. RGI recommends that the concrete slab be placed on top of medium dense native soil or structural fill. Immediately below the floor slab, RGI recommends placing a four-inch thick capillary break layer of clean, free-draining sand or gravel that has less than five percent passing the U.S. No. 200 sieve. This material will reduce the potential for upward capillary movement of water through the underlying soil and subsequent wetting of the floor slab. Where moisture by vapor transmission is undesirable, an 8- to 10-millimeter thick plastic membrane should be placed on a 4-inch thick layer of clean gravel. For the anticipated floor slab loading, we estimate post-construction floor settlements of 1/4- to 1/2-inch.

## 5.6 DRAINAGE

### 5.6.1 SURFACE

Final exterior grades should promote free and positive drainage away from the building area. Water must not be allowed to pond or collect adjacent to foundations or within the immediate building area. For non-pavement locations, RGI recommends providing a minimum drainage gradient of 3 percent for a minimum distance of 10 feet from the building perimeter. In paved locations, a minimum gradient of 1 percent should be provided unless provisions are included for collection and disposal of surface water adjacent to the structure.

### 5.6.2 SUBSURFACE

RGI recommends installing perimeter foundation drains. A typical footing drain detail is shown on Figure 4. The foundation drains and roof downspouts should be tightlined separately to an approved discharge facility. Subsurface drains must be laid with a gradient sufficient to promote positive flow to a controlled point of approved discharge.

### 5.6.3 INFILTRATION

Infiltration testing was not included in our scope. Due to the contamination observed in out Phase II environmental work on the site, infiltration is not likely feasible.

## 6.0 Additional Services

RGI is available to provide further geotechnical consultation throughout the design phase of the project. RGI should review the final design and specifications in order to verify that earthwork and foundation recommendations have been properly interpreted and incorporated into project design and construction.



RGI is also available to provide geotechnical engineering and construction monitoring services during construction. The integrity of the earthwork and construction depends on proper site preparation and procedures. In addition, engineering decisions may arise in the field in the event that variations in subsurface conditions become apparent. Construction monitoring services are not part of this scope of work. If these services are desired, please let us know and we will prepare a cost proposal.

## 7.0 Limitations


This GER is the property of RGI, City of Seattle, Office of Housing, and its designated agents. Within the limits of the scope and budget, this GER was prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices in the area at the time this GER was issued. This GER is intended for specific application to the Kenyon Street Vacant Lot - Site 5 project in Seattle, Washington, and for the exclusive use of City of Seattle, Office of Housing and its authorized representatives. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made. Site safety, excavation support, and dewatering requirements are the responsibility of others.


The scope of services for this project does not include either specifically or by implication any environmental or biological (for example, mold, fungi, bacteria) assessment of the site or identification or prevention of pollutants, hazardous materials or conditions. RGI provided a preliminary Phase II report for the site. The results are provided under separate cover.


The analyses and recommendations presented in this GER are based upon data obtained from the explorations performed on site. Variations in soil conditions can occur, the nature and extent of which may not become evident until construction. If variations appear evident, RGI should be requested to reevaluate the recommendations in this GER prior to proceeding with construction.


It is the client's responsibility to see that all parties to the project, including the designers, contractors, subcontractors, are made aware of this GER in its entirety. The use of information contained in this GER for bidding purposes should be done at the contractor's option and risk.











## APPENDIX A FIELD EXPLORATION AND LABORATORY TESTING

On April 6, 2022, RGI performed field explorations using a test probe drill rig. We explored subsurface soil conditions at the site by observing the drilling of two borings to a maximum depth of 12 feet below existing grade. The borings locations are shown on Figure 2. The borings locations were approximately determined by measurements from existing property lines and paved roads.

A geologist from our office conducted the field exploration and classified the soil conditions encountered, maintained a log of each test exploration, obtained representative soil samples, and observed pertinent site features. All soil samples were visually classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

Representative soil samples obtained from the explorations were placed in closed containers and taken to our laboratory for further examination and testing. As a part of the laboratory testing program, the soil samples were classified in our in house laboratory based on visual observation, texture, plasticity, and the limited laboratory testing described below.


### **Moisture Content Determinations**

Moisture content determinations were performed in accordance with ASTM D2216-10 Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass (ASTM D2216) on representative samples obtained from the exploration in order to aid in identification and correlation of soil types. The moisture content of typical sample was measured and is reported on the borings logs.

#### **Grain Size Analysis**

A grain size analysis indicates the range in diameter of soil particles included in a particular sample. Grain size analyses was determined using D6913-04(2009) Standard Test Methods for Particle-Size Distribution (Gradation) of Soils Using Sieve Analysis (ASTM D6913) on three of the samples.





Date(s) Drilled: 04/06/22	Logged By: JD/SK	Surface Conditions: Grass
Drilling Method(s): Direct Push	Drill Bit Size/Type: 2.25"	Total Depth of Borehole: 24 feet bgs
Drill Rig Type: Geoprobe 7730 DT	Drilling Contractor: RGI	Approximate Surface Elevation: <b>n/a</b>
Groundwater Level: Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s): Continuous	Hammer Data : <b>n/a</b>
Borehole Backfill: Bentonite	4203 South Kenyon Street and 7908 Location: 98118	MLK Jr. Way South, Seattle, Washington


$\geq$								90110	
Elevation (feet)	, Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample ID	Sampling Resistance, blows/ft	Recovery (%)	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Moisture (%)
	0-	П				Grass	$\otimes$	Grass	
			TP1-2.5	5		ML		Brown, sandy SILT with trace gravel, firm, moist, no odor, no sheen	
-	- 5-		TP1-5			ML		Brown with trace oxidation, sandy SILT with trace gravel, firm, moist, no odor	32%
			TP1-7.5	3					17%
						SM		Red, silty SAND, medium dense, moist, petroleum odor, sheen observed	
-	- 10		TP1-10			SM		Gray, silty fine SAND, dense	17%
			TP1-12						
	15-		TP1-14			ML		Gray, sandy SILT with gravel, firm, petroleum odor	11%
	- 13								

The Riley Group, Inc. 17522 Bothell Way NE, Bothell, WA 98011

Project Name: Kenyon Street Vacant Lot - Site 5 Project Number: 2021-552-12




Client: City of Seattle, Office of Housing






Logged By: JD/SK Date(s) Drilled: 04/06/22 Surface Conditions: Grass Drilling Method(s): Direct Push Drill Bit Size/Type: 2.25" Total Depth of Borehole: 15 feet bgs Approximate Drill Rig Type: Geoprobe 7730 DT Drilling Contractor: RGI Surface Elevation: n/a Sampling Method(s): Continuous Hammer Data : n/a Groundwater Level: Not Encountered 4203 South Kenyon Street and 7908 MLK Jr. Way South, Seattle, Washington Borehole Backfill: Bentonite Location: 9<u>8118</u>






Date(s) Drilled: 04/06/22 Logged By: JD/SK Surface Conditions: Grass Drilling Method(s): Direct Push Drill Bit Size/Type: 2.25" Total Depth of Borehole: 18 feet bgs Approximate Drill Rig Type: Geoprobe 7730 DT Drilling Contractor: RGI Surface Elevation: n/a Groundwater Level: Not Encountered Sampling Method(s): Continuous Hammer Data : n/a 4203 South Kenyon Street and 7908 MLK Jr. Way South, Seattle, Washington Borehole Backfill: Bentonite Location: 9<u>8118</u>



Project Name: Kenyon Street Vacant Lot - Site 5 Project Number: 2021-552-12 Client: City of Seattle, Office of Housing



Sampling Resistance, blows/ft Elevation (feet) **USCS Symbol** Recovery (%) Sample Type Graphic Log Moisture (%) Depth (feet) Sample ID MATERIAL DESCRIPTION 15 SP Black, SAND, medium dense, moist, no odor, no sheen TP3-16.5 10% TP3-18 Test Probe terminated at 18 feet bgs 20 25 30 35




Date(s) Drilled: 04/06/22	Logged By: JD/SK	Surface Conditions: Grass
Drilling Method(s): Direct Push	Drill Bit Size/Type: 2.25"	Total Depth of Borehole: 15 feet bgs
Drill Rig Type: Geoprobe 7730 DT	Drilling Contractor: RGI	Approximate Surface Elevation: <b>n/a</b>
Groundwater Level: Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s): Continuous	Hammer Data: <b>n/a</b>
Borehole Backfill: Bentonite	Location: 4203 South Kenyon Street and 7908 98118	MLK Jr. Way South, Seattle, Washington

Elevation (feet)	o Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample ID	Sampling Resistance, blows/ft	Recovery (%)	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Moisture (%)
-	· -					Grass		Grass Gray, sandy SILT, firm, moist, no odor, no sheen *Not enough for Geotech sample	
	- 5		ГР4-4.5 ГР4-7.5			GP	)~0~0~0~	Gray, sandy GRAVEL, moist, dense, no odor, no sheen	13%
-	- 10 <del>-</del>		TP4-10			SM		Brown, oxidation, silty SAND, moist, dense, no odor, no sheen	15%
-   -   -			TP4-12.5 TP4-15			SP		Black sand, moist, dense no odor, no sheen	15%



Date(s) Drilled: 04/06/22 Logged By: JD/SK Surface Conditions: Grass Drilling Method(s): Direct Push Drill Bit Size/Type: 2.25" Total Depth of Borehole: 19 feet bgs Approximate Surface Elevation: n/a Drill Rig Type: Geoprobe 7730 DT Drilling Contractor: RGI Groundwater Level: 15 feet Sampling Method(s): Continuous Hammer Data : n/a 4203 South Kenyon Street and 7908 MLK Jr. Way South, Seattle, Washington Borehole Backfill: Bentonite Location: 9<u>8118</u>




Project Name: Kenyon Street Vacant Lot - Site 5 Project Number: 2021-552-12 Client: City of Seattle, Office of Housing



Sampling Resistance, blows/ft Elevation (feet) **USCS Symbol** Recovery (%) Sample Type Graphic Log Moisture (%) 미자 (feet) Sample ID MATERIAL DESCRIPTION SP Black, SAND, moist, petroleum odor, sheen TP5-19 25% Test probe terminated at 19 feet bgs 20 25 30 35



Date(s) Drilled: 04/06/22	Logged By: JD/SK	Surface Conditions: Grass	
Drilling Method(s): Direct Push	Drill Bit Size/Type: 2.25"	Total Depth of Borehole: 9 feet bgs	
Drill Rig Type: Geoprobe 7730 DT	Drilling Contractor: RGI	Approximate Surface Elevation: n/a	
Groundwater Level: Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s): Continuous	Hammer Data: <b>n/a</b>	
Borehole Backfill: Bentonite	Location: 4203 South Kenyon Street and 790 98118	8 MLK Jr. Way South, Seattle, Washington	
Elevation (feet) Elevation (feet) Elevation (feet) Depth (feet) Dept	Indextor     98118       Image: Stress     Image: Stress       SM     Image: Stress       Image: Stress     Im	moist, no odor, no sheen	



Date(s) Drilled: 04/06/22	Logged By: JD/SK	Surface Conditions: Grass
Drilling Method(s): Direct Push	Drill Bit Size/Type: 2.25"	Total Depth of Borehole: 14 feet bgs
Drill Rig Type: Geoprobe 7730 DT	Drilling Contractor: RGI	Approximate Surface Elevation: <b>n/a</b>
Groundwater Level: Not Encountered	Sampling Method(s): Continuous	Hammer Data : <b>n/a</b>
Borehole Backfill: Bentonite	4203 South Kenyon Street and 7908 Location: 98118	MLK Jr. Way South, Seattle, Washington

01106										
Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample ID	Sampling Resistance, blows/ft	Recovery (%)	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Moisture (%)	
-	0-	$\square$				Grass	$\otimes$	Grass		
		-				SM		Black, silty SAND with gravel, medium dense, no odor, no sheen		
-	- 5 - 5 	-				SM		Brown, silty SAND, firm, no odor, no sheen		
-	- 10  		TP7-10 TP7-12.5 TP7-14			SM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Brownish gray, some oxidation, SAND with some silt and trace gravel, very dense, moist, no odor, no sheen	13%	
	- 1	⊢					<u>                                     </u>	Dark brown, SAND, dense, moist, no odor, no sheen	1	
								Test probe terminated at 14 feet bgs		
-	15-							ובא אושה יבוווווומוכע מג וא וכבו אנא		
L										

Project Name: Kenyon Street Vacant Lot - Site 5

Project Number: 2021-552-12

Client: City of Seattle, Office of Housing



Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Sample Type	Sample ID	Sampling Resistance, blows/ft	Recovery (%)	USCS Symbol	Graphic Log	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Moisture (%)		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
COLUMN DESCRIPTIONS											
<ul> <li>Elevation (feet): Elevation (MSL, feet).</li> <li>Depth (feet): Depth in feet below the ground surface.</li> <li>Sample Type: Type of soil sample collected at the depth interval shown.</li> <li>Sample ID: Sample identification number.</li> <li>Sampler one foot (or distance shown) beyond seating interval using the hammer identified on the boring log.</li> <li>A Hammer identified on the boring log.</li></ul>											
FIELD AND LABORATORY TEST ABBREVIATIONS											
CHEM: COMP: CONS: LL: Liqu	Compac One-dim	tior ens	n test sional					PI: Plasticity Index, percent SA: Sieve analysis (percent passing No. 200 Sieve) UC: Unconfined compressive strength test, Qu, in ksf WA: Wash sieve (percent passing No. 200 Sieve)	SA: Sieve analysis (percent passing No. 200 Sieve) UC: Unconfined compressive strength test, Qu, in ksf		
MATER	IAL GR	AP	HIC S	YMBOL	. <u>S</u>						
MATERIAL GRAPHIC SYMBOLS         Image: Construction of the symbols         Image: Constructio of th											
<u>TYPICA</u>	L SAMF	PLE	R GR	APHIC	SYMBO	DLS		OTHER GRAPHIC SYMBOLS			
Bulk	er sampl Sample h-OD C s rings		ornia v	v/	Grab	Samp Samp uch-OE ornia w	le D Mo	Pitcher Sample       — 型       Water level (at time of drilling, ATE         2-inch-OD unlined split spoon (SPT)       — 型       Water level (at time of drilling, ATE         dified ass liners       Shelby Tube (Thin-walled, fixed head)       — — Inferred/gradational contact between strata	s within a		

#### **GENERAL NOTES**

1: Soil classifications are based on the Unified Soil Classification System. Descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive, and actual lithologic changes may be gradual. Field descriptions may have been modified to reflect results of lab tests.

2: Descriptions on these logs apply only at the specific boring locations and at the time the borings were advanced. They are not warranted to be representative of subsurface conditions at other locations or times.

